

special collections



douglas Library

queen's university AT kingston

kingston ontario canada





A

REVIEW

OF THE

SHORT HISTORY

OF

PRIME MINISTERS.

[Price Six-pence.]



REVIEW

OF THE

SHORT HISTORY

OF

PRIME MINISTERS.

IN WHICH

The numerous Fallacies and Mifreprefentations contain'd in that Pamphlet are fully detected, and some important Pasfages in History set in a clear Light.

WITH

Curfory REMARKS on the Author's base Aspersions on M—.

Fallitur egregio quisquis sub principe credit Servitium; nunquam libertas gratior extat, Quam sub rege pio.

Claud,

LONDON:

Printed for T. COOPER, at'the Globe in Ivy-Language Pater-Noffer-Row. M DCCXXXIII.

HC? 1. " 1. 1. r i A

REVIEW

OF THE

SHORT HISTORY

O F

PRIME MINISTERS.

T must be allowed, that since the Conquest, there never was an Opposition to any King, or any Ministry, more indesatigably supported, than that which is at present maintain'd against those who are; and, which is their great Crime, have been so long trusted with the Administration of publick Assairs. Among the many Attacks they have sustain'd, and the Multitude of Political Mines which have been sprung against them, none hath so much disappointed their Antagonists, as that they have not been able, by the Examples quoted from British History, to alter the Disposition of their Prince, or sufficiently inslame the Minds of the People; either would have a open'd

open'd them a Road to Power, and till that be effected, they are determin'd never to be

at quiet.

It has been often advanced by the Advocates on that side of the Question, That a continual Opposition against the Measures pursued by any Ministry whatever, is the subject; and therefore a Practice always justifiable, as tending to the Good of the State. Whether this be so or not, I shall not at present attempt to enquire; but one Thing I will venture to affert, that no Principle but this can ever justify the present Malecontents. Nay, I can with Justice go further, and affirm, no Practice could ever have done so much Honour to the present Administration; since it is manifest, nothing but the Uprightness of their Conduct could have sustained the reiterated Assaults of a powerful and invenom'd Faction.

That happy Freedom, which we enjoy under the best Constitution that ever existed, hath opened a wide Gate for whatever could be suggested to the Prejudice of those in Power; I mean, the Liberty of the Press, which never was strained farther than within these seven Years last past. I do not mention this with the least View of setting such a Practice in a Light, which might make it seem just or necessary to restrain it; on the contrary, I think it essential to the Nation's Sasety, because it affords them the

best Means of judging of the Condition their Affairs are in. And I am the more zealous for the Support of this Liberty, from my being persuaded that while the Majority of Britons remain cool and candid Judges of the contending Parties Merits, they will ever continue dutiful Subjects to their King, and entertain a proper Sense of that Happiness which is derived to them from his Administration. From these Considerations, I am a Friend to the Liberty of the Press; and from these Considerations only, have I on this Occasion been tempted to em-

ploy it.

That History affords the best Lessons to Politicians, is acknowledg'd by all who have discours'd on the Subject; and that the English History ought to be thoroughly studied by English Men, was, if I mistake not, a Point tolerably well known before it was inculcated by the Crastsman. I must own, indeed, that Mr. D'Anvers's Correspondents and Associates have given us repeated Proofs of their reading in this way; they, in the beginning of their Lectures, extracted from it various Characters at sull length; such as Buckingham's and Woolsey's. Afterwards the learned, judicious, and impartial Mr. Oldcastle presented us with a Set of Dissertations on Rapin's History, which were wonderfully applauded by his Pupils; and by others, thought rather malicious Respections, than Observations sound-

ed on Facts. But these Essays for reviving Confusion proving utterly ineffectual, the present, it seems, has been thought a convenient Season for a new Attempt in the same way; and thereupon, some Under-Author has been set at work to make and publish an Extract of all that could be muster'd up on this Head; and he has presented it to us accordingly, under the Title of A Short History of Prime Ministers in Great Britain.

The Intent of this labour'd Performance, as the Author himself sets it forth, is to shew, that a Monster, call'd a Prime Minifler, and who is the Fore-runner of Tyranny; or, as it is there express'd (a little obficurely, I think) a preliminary Tyrant was, is, and will be fuch a Bugbear, as the British Nation cannot bear the Sight of: That our Constitution must tremble at his Approach; our Liberty be in danger whenever he appears; our Trade fly before him; and, in a word, common Sense and common Honesty must quit the Kingdom, the very Instant this same Prime Minister sets his Foot in it. " Behold, Britons! this tremendous Mon-" fler, which only can swallow up your Pri-"vileges; remember that he is to be perfe-cuted with univerfal Antipathy; that " your Ancestors never gave him Quarter." Thus far the Author.—Let me add this Caution. Don't fall to worrying, till you're sure 'tis a Prime Minister.

It is no difficult thing to perceive, that all the Writer's Artifice is exerted in order to confound the Words Prime and Evil Minister, so as to make them pass for synonomous Terms; for could that be once brought about, it would require no more than to call any of his Majesty's Council his Prime Minister, and the Person must, ipso facto, commence such a Monster as has been purfued by the Publick State, at least fince the Days of William the Conqueror. Their late Success in imposing a Change in collecting the Duties on two Commodities on the People for a general Excise has made them so sanguine, that they fancy the same may be practifed again with equal Facility, and then by the help of this Scheme their Work were done. For all the good People of England, as this very learned Gentleman has shewn, are, from an innate Implacability derived from Father to Son, bound to rile as one Man, and some-how or other rid themfelves of this Monster; and in case it should to happen, that they should fall into any Dispute about the Method, the ingenious Com? piler of this humane Treatise adds at the end of his Pamplet, a List of Deaths for them to pick and chuse out of; and, to solve all Difficulties, has put STURDY BEGGARS down in the Class of Executioners, for which those, who of late are grown fond of the Title, will doubtless give him Thanks.

This

This judicious Person goes on next to assign the Reasons why the English Nation have, do, and ought to exert their utmost Aversion against Prime Ministers; and tho' I confess, there be no Cause at present for answering this part of his Personmance, because I know none to whom in any degree of Propriety that Title can be given; yet I cannot forbear remarking what odd Arguments these People pitch on to prove such Parodoxes as they think fit to maintain for the Amusement of the Populace. a Number of great Men join (says our Author) they draw with them a large Body " of the Nation, and cannot therefore act, " but upon Principles that may preserve to " them their Popularity amongst their Fel" low-Subjects." After extolling this Form of Rule extravagantly: "Reverse (says this " wife Person) this noble Prospect, and be-"hold the Power of many Ministers shrunk up into the Fist of one ambitious Man."

(i. e. a Prime Minister) He can have " no personal Interest to draw any Numbers along with Him, and must therefore have " recourse to corrupting our Manners, in order to corrupt our Votes." All this I confefs, is a kind of Reasoning not a little without my Comprehension.

For to a Man of ordinary Judgment, as I take it, it will feem much more probable that a *Junto* of *Ministers*, each having, as the Author supposes, a large personal Interest,

and a great Number of Dependants closely linked to his Service, should attempt to encrease their Authority beyond legal Bounds, than a single Man without any considerable Strength, and who might thence be rationally expected to act with greater Care and Circumspection. I do not say this as an Advocate for a fole Minister, but I advance it by way of Argument, and I could adduce many Instances to prove it: The Triumvirates of Pompey, Casar, and Crassus, and of Octavius, Antony and Lepidus, put it absolutely out of the Power of the Romans to escape Slavery; if these Consederacies had never been made, the Friends of Liberty would have been too strong for any single Pretender; but their Conjunction riveted the Chain on the People, and what happened afterwards was only changing Three Masters for One.

These worthy Reasons for establishing an Oligarchy being sufficiently insisted on, our Author proceeds to ransacking, as himself very justly terms it, the British History, in order to prove by Record the continued Hatred of the Nation to this Phænomenon, which he has thought sit to dress up and call a Prime Minister. With what view this Detail has at this juncture been pushed into the World, the Work itself sufficiently declares, and stands in need of no Innuendoes to explain it. But the Falshoods, Forgeries, and Missepresentations which it con-

B 2

tains, renders it necessary to examine it particularly; because honest and good Men may otherwise be mislead, and mistake Kings for Tyrants; Publick Benefactors, for Traytors to the People; and the most Pernicious Enemies to the State, for Zealous and Disinterested Patriots. With this Intent we shall follow him step by step thro' the several Reigns from the Conquest to the Restoration, and leave our Readers to judge with what Candor he has given them a short Account of the Single Article of Prime Minis

sters through that Period.

But previous to this, it will be needful to fettle the true Meaning of those Terms which both he and I make frequent Use of, viz. Minister, Prime Minister, and Favourite. The first, according to its natural Import, means no more than a Servant, and in the Course of these Pages is taken for a Servant employed by the Prince in Matters of State, i. e. in discharge of Duties he owes his People. Prime Minister is a Servant, in whom his Prince, from an high Opinion of his Capacity and Integrity, places a pe-culiar Confidence. A Favourite is one raifed from the King's Esteem of his Person. When these make an ill Use of the Power with which they are invested, they become Evil Ministers, and Minions dangerous to their Master, in as much as they are Pests to his People, and fuch as, after due Proof of their

their Crimes, the English have hardly endured.

WILLIAM the first, surnamed the Conqueror, was, as Rapin, and the best of our own Historians have observed, a Prince of a stern, suspicious and implacable Temper; he raised Odo, his Half-brother, to the Bishoprick of Bayeaux in Normandy, and, when he returned into his Dutchy after the fubduing of England, he appointed this Odo and another, Regents here in his Absence. Their Behaviour during their continuance in Power was correspondent to his own, that is, they squeezed, harrassed, and oppressed the poor English, till they made them rebel: The King thereupon returning from Normandy speedily quashed those Stirs, but he did not punish the Regents; on the contrary, the Historians say he approved of all they did; and Rapin observes, that if they had not been fure of fuch Approbation, they would not have acted as they did. But William from this time hated his new Subjects, revived the Tax called Danegelt, and contrived every method possible to bring them low. About sixteen Years after, this Odo, who was now become Earl of Kent and, had amassed Wealth enough to aspire to the Papacy, caused the greatest part of it to be put on board a Fleet at the Isle of Wight, and taking with him fuch Normans, as had particularly depended upon him, attempted from thence to fail into Italy; but the Winds

Winds proving contrary, gave the King time to come over, and at once seize him and all that he had; which when he had done, he sent him close Prisoner to a Castle in Normandy, where he continued till the Conqueror's Death. The Amount of all this is, that a wicked avaricious Prince put it in the power of his Half-brother, by Oppression, to raise an exorbitant Fortune, in the Enjoyment of which he protected him against the Voice of the Nation, until he found an Opportunity of seizing all to himself. What this has to do with the present State of Things, let the Craftsman or his Corespondents make out if they can.

WILLIAM the fecond (fays the Author of the short History) was, according to our Historians, a Prince of a fierce and avaricious Temper. I admit that and more, for the best Authors agree in making him a Monarch of mean Capacity and many Vices; and yet even this King, as our Author allows, might have retained the Affections of his People. Rapin tells us how: " It was " observed with Pleasure that he affected to be " governed by the Counsels of Lanfranc, " who was univerfally esteemed and beloved. " It was chiefly the Respect he at first paid "this wife Counsellor, that filled the Eng"lish with the hopes of a happy Change
"in their Fortunes." So that it feems the National Hate was not in those early Days bent against Prime Ministers, who were, and

and may be of great Benefit to the Publick; but against Evil Ministers and Evil Meafures, which every body allows were proper Objects of Resentment. But as the English were in this Reign in the Interest of the Prime Minister, because a good one; so their Affection for Change never influenced them as to him. Their kind Wishes attended him while in Place, and followed him in his Retreat, where he died exceedingly lamented both by the Normans and Eng-lish, tho' he was a Foreigner by birth. It may feem strange to some, that this Character should be omitted in the Short History, but those who are acquainted with the Candor usually shewn in Party Histories will be at no great loss in finding out the Cause. It is not amazing, that so wicked and irreligious a Prince as King WILLIAM the Second, who retained neither the Fear of God, or Regard of Reputation, should be able from among the Scum of the People to pick up an Instrument of Oppression; the Person he fixed on was one Ranulph Flambart, a Man of mean Birth, whom a little before his Death he made Bishop of Durham, and of whom we shall have occasion to speak again the next Reign.

Henry the first was indisputably a great Prince, and as deserving of Reputation as any Monarch of his Age. But the Author of the Short History has imposed on his Readers as to what he says about him and his Conduct.

At the beginning of his Reign his Title was very dubious, not to fay worse; the Nation were justly provoked against Ranulph Bishop of Durham, the detested Minister of the late Prince (as Rapin calls him;) and the Great Council of the Realm directed he should be imprisoned, as we are told by Matthew Paris; so that this was really a judicial Act, founded doubtless upon Proofs which ought to be brought before any Man is punished. As to Henry's governing, as the Author fets forth, without any PRIME MINISTER, it is notoriously false; he was directed by Anselm, Archbishop of Canterbury, in the Entrance of his Reign; and it was the Wisdom, Integrity, and great Interest of this PRIME MINISTER which kept the Crown on his Master's Head. But the Author of the Short History has invented and afferted another Fact in relation to this King; because the Story he tells might be of some use to the Scheme pursued in the Pamphlet, viz. That when one of his own Ministry, Ro-BERT Earl of MALLENT, had by his Conduct incurred the People's Hatred, he first difgraced him, and then stripped him of his vast Estate: Whereas the EARL of MALLENT lost his own large Fortune for attempting to put WILLIAM CRITO, the Son of Ro-BERT, Duke of Normandy, elder Brother to the King, in Possession of his Dutchy. During the latter part of his Life he ruled, as far as we can learn, without MINISTERS,

or at least without any of great Note, except his Natural Children, one of whom, viz. Robert Earl of Gloucester, was the Perfon he of all others depended most upon.

fon he of all others depended most upon.

King Stephen ascended the Throne by the Election of the People, who were influenced thereunto by the Clergy, at the Head of which powerful Body was Henry Bishop of Winchester, the King's Brother. The Terms however upon which he was admitted to the *Crown*, were the conceding large Privileges to his *Barons*, who fwore conditionally, as long as the *King* maintained his Promises, so long were they to maintain their Loyalty. Oaths were at that time too frequent, which naturally induced Purjury, by rendring them less heedful of those solemn Assertions. Wherefore, before STEPHEN was well fettled, some of the most forward to advance him went over to the Party of Maub his Competitor, who claimed by an Hereditary Right; the Barons turned the Favours the King had done them to his Prejudice, and because he would not satisfy the exorbitant Requests they made, a Spirit of FALSE PATRIOTISM excited many of them to disturb the Publick Peace, to take Arms against a King to whom they were bound by Oath, and to plunge their Country into civil Broils and Bloodshed, in hopes of satiating their Ambition and Avarice by Bribes from one or other of the Competitors. Henry, Bishop of Winchester, carried over the Clergy Clergy to MAUD's Interest, because his Brother would not fuffer them to become independent, to raise Soveraignties in the Countries where they resided. This infolent Priest summoned King STEPHEN to appear before him, and an Affembly of the Clergy, to give account of his Actions; this his Brother disdained, but sent Alberic de Vere, a famous Civilian, who, as Rapin tells us, with great Boldness maintained the Royal Cause against these Reverend Rebels. King is recorded to have had another Favourite, one William de Tpre, who, when his Master was in Prison firmly supported the little Interest lest till the Pride of the Empress, who disobliged the Bishop of Winchester, put it in his Power to restore his Master both to his Liberty and Authority. Such FAVOURITES as these, who afferted the Honour and Safety of the King against Male-contents and Rebels, will never fure be condemned by Men of the same Principles with those whom they opposed: which reason one is named and censured without ground in the Short History, and the other not mentioned at all.

Henry the fecond was a Monarch of great Capacity, Courage, and Prudence; he made choice of Thomas Becket, a Lawyer of some eminence at the Bar, for his Counsellor, and made great and constant use of his Counsels. Thomas affected vast Magnificence, and kept up prodigious Splendour, until

until the Archbishoprick of Canterbury becoming vacant, the King advanced him to that high Dignity, not doubting but that he would act therein very obsequiously. Thomas immediately changed his whole Behaviour, the Royal Favours were forgotten, and the Ambition of fetting himself at the Head of a Party against his Prince, led this haughty Prelate to involve the Nation in great Calamities, wherein the King shewed great Meanness in condescending to enter into various Treaties with his Subjects and his Creatures. At length the Infolence of the Priest, and a rash Expression from the King, caused the Archbishop to be murdered in the Chancel of his Cathedral. After this, much Dissension ensued between King HEN-RY and Sons; but Rapin takes no Notice of Becket's having fown the Seeds, which indeed, I think, not a little improbable; tho', to swell the Wickedness of this MINISTER, as he is improperly styled in the Short History, this Crime is very considently laid to his Charge; I say, he is improperly styled a MINISTER, because he resigned the Great Seal as soon as he became Archbishop, and fet up for a Defender of the Rights of the Church the Moment he had divested himfelf of his Office of Chancellor; fo that on the whole he may rather be ranked among FALSE PATRIOTS, than among Evil Mi-MISTERS.

C 2 As

As to what the Author of the Short History says of the Reign of RICHARD the first, and the insolent Behaviour of the Biship of Ely, I admit it to be pretty near the Truth; but then I must put the Reader in mind, that these Exorbitancies were committed in the Absence of the King, and that as ill as the Bishop behaved, he was summoned before an Assembly of the Lords in St. Paul's Church, and there charged with, and convicted of his Crimes, before he was imprisoned or punished, or so much as his Authority taken away; so cautious in those Days were the People of England, and so tender of stirring without Cause.

King John was a Prince, whose Vices, and want of Capacity to govern well, brought him into a State the most deplorable a King could possibly be in. Archbishop of CANTERBURY rendred him eminent Services in the beginning of his Reign, fettled the Minds and Affections of his People, and at his Coronation made a Speech which gave the King to understand he held his Crown from the Election of his Subjects, and was to be maintained in Possession of it by their Affections resulting from his ruling according to his Oath. ter this, the Archbishop opposed the King's Measures, on which the Writer of the Short History inferts his Name among those he has dignified with the Appellation of

PRIME,

PRIME, i. e. of EVIL MINISTERS. But the Author must have a care of writing at that rate; for if a MINISTER's thwarting his Master when he is out of Place intitles him to be rank'd in the Records of this worthy Author among PRIME OF EVIL MINI-STERS, then in his fecond part, he must give us—, and —, and — as the Publick Pests of the Nation, during the latter part of his Deceased Majesty's Reign. As to the affected Character of John Gray, the Norfolk Man, it is not very just in itself, and if it be intended to throw any Dirt on that County, the Historians who have written of this King have fully wiped it off, by observing that it was the only Part of the King's Dominions where his Majesty thought his Person safe; wherefore he chose the Town of Lyn for his Retreat, secured there his Treasure and Regalia, and as the Reward of the Loyalty expressed by the Inhabitants thereof, he made it a MAYOR TOWN, and gave them his own Sword. So that on the whole, a Norfolk Man need not have been particularized on this Occasion with so much Caution. Earl of Pembroke, who was the Counsellor, in whom this King most confided, who in all the Changes of that unfortunate Period for the English Glory, was constantly true to his Soveraign and to his Country, is however

ever omitted in this Short History, his Character and Conduct not at all agreeing

with the Design of that Work.

The Reign of HENRY the third affords much Matter of Observation to the Writer of the Short History. Hubert de Burgh, whom he mentions, was certainly an Evil Minister, and guilty of Oppressing the People, yet he fell by the King's Resentment folely, who harraffed him exceedingly, and would have put him to Death contrary to Law. The Barons, tho' no Friends to the Man, did not like such a Precedent, and therefore took him out of Custody of the King's Servants, until such time as he could answer before his Peers, which he did so clearly as to escape, tho' Henry, who wanted Money, forced him to buy his Peace, even after this, at the Expence of four of his best Estates. The Bishop of Winchester was a much worse Minister, a Man neither of Honesty or Humanity, who practifed every mean Thing himself to get Money, and prevailed on a very easy Prince to do the fame; yet the Barons of this Realm fought not his Removal till they had full Proof of his Extortions, when indeed they refused to come to Parliament before the King removed him and his Dependants. HENRY himself was an ill and weak Man, so that notwithstanding these Warnings, he governed afterwards as bad as ever, until at the

length the Barons, being able to bear no longer, resolved to free themselves from those Pressures under which they had so long groaned. Their General Simon Mon-FORT, Earl of Leicester, had indeed been raised to Honour and Estate by the King's Bounty, but not, as the Short History feems to infinuate, from a low and mean Beginning; for this Simon was second Son to the famous Earl Montfort, and might have been advanced in France, if he had not chosen to dwell here. He married King Henry's Sister, was not long in the King's Favour, headed that Party which opposed the King's EVIL MINISTERS, and, in fighting against those who supported the Royal Authority, lost his Life. With what Justice then he is crowded into this HISTORY of Prime Ministers, all Mankind may judge; but the Author was willing to have a large Catalogue, variety of Crimes, and variety of violent Deaths. He seems to have received a double Portion of the Party's Spirit, and is never pleased, but when describing the wicked Acts of licentions Favourites. faucily infinuating to M y from former Examples, that Subjects have defy'd, imprifon'd, and even murder'd Kings on various Pretences, which should warn him-of what--furely, of never having any thing to do with those, who presuming on the Lenity of our Laws, and the Justice of the the present Administration, have dared to throw out such hints of their base and bloody Intentions.

The Reign of EDWARD the first is, by the Author of the SHORT HISTORY, admitted to have been equally glorious for the Prince, and happy for the People: This (as it was natural for him to do) he afcribes to that Monarch's making no use of a Prime Minister. As every Man has an equal Right of observing on historical Events; so on considering what Historians have written of Assairs under this Prince, I am led to imagine, that another more probable Cause may be assign'd for the Prosperity which King Edward, for the most part, enjoy'd: It is this. personal Reputation which Edward had attain'd for Courage and Conduct before his Accession to the Crown, render'd him so formidable to the Barons, that they were less inclin'd to league and confederate themfelves against him, than against his Ancestors, whose Dispositions they knew were biassed towards Peace; which therefore they were for attaining at any rate. The best Authors remark, that he made it his chief Care to keep Things quiet here, fometimes treating the Barons civilly, fometimes coarfely, as Things stood at the Time Disputes happen'd. In fine, he was a perfect Judge when to perfift, and when to give way, which enabled him by degrees to establish his Authority so essectually, as to render it impossible for Conspiracies to be undertaken by the Malecontents with any Hopes of Success. This, together with his exact Distribution of Justice, even to the meanest of the People, and a Readiness to undertake whatever might contribute to the Prosit or Glory of the Nation, made him the Darling of the Populace, and the Terror of those Noblemen, who were otherwise prone enough to throw Things into Consusion. His Vigilance, Power, and great Stock of Glory, made him hold the Reins of Government without Trouble, and enabled him to leave his Son a Crown without Dispute or Disadvantage.

King Edward the fecond was a Monarch of mean Spirit; he did not love, but dote on his Favourites, without just Ground or Reason. Gaveston he recall'd, not only against the Command given him by his dying Father, but also against his own solemn Promise, ratified by an Oath. This Man had Wit, and many genteel Accomplishments; but he had no Capacity, no Turn for Politicks, was hally and vain-glorious, proud and extravagantly prosuse. 'Tis true, he dispos'd of all Employments; yet, in the main, he was

rather the King's Minion, than his Minister; for in Edward's thoughtless Courts, Balls were the Business, and splended Entertainments the utmost Stretch of royal Power and Wisdom. The Barons, having by feveral Experiments discover'd the Pusillanimity of their Soveraign's Temper, at last set up a new Form of Government, to which the King was forced to fubmit; and quickly after, pretending he had broke in on fome of these Articles, they waged open War against him, taking Scarborough Castle, wherein Gaveston was, granting him Terms in his -Capitulation; which the Earl of Warwick afterwards broke through, and put him to Death; an Act severely animad-verted on by Rapin. The Spencers, Fa-ther and Son, soon gain'd as great an Ascendant over King Edward as his former Favourite; though at first they were in the Barons Interest, and young Spencer was by them brought to Court, and placed near the King's Person, as a Spy. It must be acknowledg'd, that these were most unsettled Times, in which Fraud and Dissimulation were scarce thought Crimes. The Constitution differ'd widely from what it is at prefent; the Barons looked on the King as their Enemy the King regarded them with as evil ar Eye; and the poor People stood equally in fear of both. The elder Spencer had an exceeding good Character till the Advancement of his Son, and at first gave him good Instructions; but by degrees, too much Power, join'd to that Envy which is fure to accompany it, turned their Brains, and they became infolent to the last Degree. No doubt can be made that the Barons, on the first Advancement of the Spencers, used them disdainfully, and in a Manner which plainly shew'd, that they consider'd them as Enemies. This provoked the Favourites, who found Means raise an Army, whereby, the Barons being routed, the great Earl of Lancaster lost his Life, by a Sentence pronounc'd in the Presence of the Father and Son. In the mean time, Isabella the Queen had an Amour with young Mortimer, a Prisoner in the Tower, whose Life she protected, though fought by the Spencers. Afterwards he made his Escape, and went into France, whither the Queen quickly found a Pretence to follow him, with her Son Prince Edward: There the Love of the Publick, the Fear of falling again under the Subjection of the Spencers, the Thirst of Glory, and a Criminal Passion, prevailed altogether on Isabella to attempt dispossessing her Husband of his D 2 Crown, Crown, and revenging herself on his Minions. In this she succeeded to her Wish. Bristol, where old Spencer had sled for Shelter, quickly surrender'd; and he, at the Age of Ninety, was, without Trial, hang'd up in his Armour. Not long after, the King himself and the younger Spencer sell into her Hands; the latter she caused to be hanged on a very high Gibbet; and soon after Edward his Master was basely murder'd. Such a Mixture of Patriotism and Treason, Justice and Cruelty, publick Spirit and private Revenge, hardly perhaps, if ever, appear'd at once in any Nation.

It would be needless to trace farther the Current of this Invective against Men in Power; the Remarks which I have already made, are sufficient to shew that the Author had not the Information of his Readers in view, but aimed solely at influencing their Passions, and inspiring them with Rage and Hatred against a most Noble Person, who, by meer Dint of his Integrity, hath, for a long Course of Years, preserv'd his Honour and his Places, in spite of all the sine Speeches of—and all the tart Papers in the Craftsman

This Short History, and all the various Histories contain'd in it, have not

the least to do with the present Times. The Constitution is much alter'd since the Reigns of the Plantagenets. Our Kings do not pretend to such extensive Prerogatives; our Nobles are no longer in a Condition to give Law to their Soveraign, nor to oppress their Dependants; the People have their just Rights and Privileges, which none can invade except the Legislature; and that would be such an extravagant Act of political Self-Murder as no Man need to be afraid of.

In the many Instances recorded by the Short History Writer of ruin'd Mini-

glaring Refutation? If these Men should now, or at any time, succeed, by their insolent Assertions, and overbearing Behaviour towards their Prince, would it not open such a Rent in our Constitution as could not be closed? Who would serve the State in Prospect of Ruin? Who would aim at doing the Publick Service, to be rewarded with their Hate as soon as they are satiated with the Benefits conferred upon them?

But above all, how hard must the Condition of a British Monarch be, if he lose the Power of protecting even his innocent Servants? If so be the King's MINISTER must necessarily incur the Hate of his Subjects, and expose a worthy Man to the Pursuit of those violent Spirits who are in Love with Change, and thirst for Blood, who can Kings expect about them? Or what Consequence can this have, but to make Princes Tyrants or Slaves?

Men therefore of Moderation, who love their Country, and have a due Respect for the Crown, will judge of Things as they appear after due Consideration; they will be warm in the Interest of Liberty, and yet loyal to the Person of their Princes; they will

dildain

disdain Slavery, and still dread the doing the smallest Injury to: the Constitution; they will respect the King's Ministers, while they act in Concert with the Representatives of the People. In sine, they will esteem it their Duty to defend a Government, while it protests them.

FINIS.











